What are the risks, really? —learn what makes you a safer diver
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Experience of life suggests that anything which is fun tends to be either illegal, immoral, fattening or dangerous. Recreational diving partly conforms to this universal law, ranking below hang gliding and parachuting but above most sports in regards to the risk of a fatal accident.

Statistical evidence
Diving statistics from the United States, United Kingdom, Canada and Japan all show diving death rates of 15–30 per 100,000 divers per year, with the statistical chance of a fatality being about 2-3 per 100,000 dives. These figures tend to contradict the misinformation issuing from some sections of the diving industry (fatalities of < 4 per 100,000 divers) which would have us believe that diving is a very safe recreation. It is not, but then we accept risks every day. Even driving an automobile to a dive site carries an appreciable (but much less) risk of death—a possibility which we generally regard with equanimity. This article will show that many diving deaths should be preventable and that a diver ought to be able to minimise his or her chances of becoming a statistic by understanding and influencing the factors which are now known to be associated with diving deaths.

Dying with weightbelt on
The information presented here is mainly based on data gathered by valuable studies involving recreational diving fatalities. They have been conducted in different countries, but show strikingly similar results. The U.S. recreational diving deaths, originally compiled by John McAniff of the University of Rhode Island and then NUADC, are now collected and reported on by DAN, which recently analysed 947 open circuit scuba divers. The DAN survey also included technical divers, who dive deeper, longer and with gases other than compressed air. The BSAC do a similar job in the United Kingdom, and DAN-AP Diver Fatality Project is the Australian compiler. Unfortunately, significant data is frequently not available, and so relevant causal factors are often underestimated. Another Australian approach (the ANZ series of diving fatalities) was to select and analyse only the accidents in which sufficient data was available to make the analysis credible, and to determine what factors materially contributed to the fatality. Most of our statistics come from this source and are rounded up, for simplicity.

Diving Fatality Data
• 90% died with their weight belt on
• 86% were alone when they died
• 50% did not inflate their buoyancy vest
• 25% encountered their difficulty first on the surface, 50% actually died on the surface
• 10% were under training when they died
• 10% were advised that they were medically unfit to dive
• 5% were cave diving
• 1% of “rescuers” became a victim

Age
The recorded deaths range from children (pre-teens) to septuagenarians. Some decades ago the average age of the deceased was in the early 20’s. Then there developed a small increase in the middle...
ages (45-60 years). This bimodal curve has now become distorted on the other side, and the average scuba death age is now 43 years. The reasons for this increasing age of death are:

• The “youngsters” from the 1970-80 scuba diving boom are now older.
• Cardiac disease, the sudden death syndrome, affects the elderly and diving introduces more cardiac hazards than many other sporting activities.
• Diving is becoming a lifestyle option for the increasingly active and affluent elderly, with more older people taking up this sport.

Gender
In the 1990s, one in ten of the fatalities were women. The actual percentage of women in the overall diving population was about one in three, suggesting that women are safer divers than men. Even now females account for only 20 percent of the deaths.

Diving experience
In most series, one-third were inexperienced, one-third had moderate experience and one-third had considerable experience. The most dangerous divers were the first dive and the first open water dive. In half the cases the victim, based on witness statements and previously logged dives, was extending his diving experience (depth, duration, environment, equipment etc.) and thus did not have the experience to undertake the final dive. For this reason, any diver extending any of his dive parameters (depths, durations, environments, equipment etc.) is advised to do this only with more experienced supervisors.

Major causes of death identified at autopsy
According to death certificates, most divers ultimately drowned (over 80%), but a number of factors usually combined to incapacitate the diver before this terminal event. Drowning is really only the final act in a sequence of events that lead up to this. It is a reflection of the medium in which the accident happens, more than the accident itself. Often it obscures the real cause of death. Unless there are other factors, drowning should never happen to a scuba diver, as the diver carries his/her own personal air supply with him! Drowning develops because of preceding problems, such as cardiac disease, pulmonary barotrauma, the stress disorders, unconsciousness from any cause, salt water aspiration, trauma, equipment difficulties or environmental hazards, etc.

Contributing factors
Deaths usually followed a combination of difficulties, which alone may have been survivable. The factors contributing to deaths are easier to understand when classified, and we have categorised them into the following groups:

In Part One of this series, we have a closer look at:
• Diving techniques (inadequate air supply, buoyancy, buddy system)
• Human factors (medical, physiological, psychological)

In Part Two, we have a closer look at:
• Equipment factors (misuse, faults)
• Environmental factors

Diving Technique

—Inadequate Air Supply
In the ANZ survey in half the deaths (56%), critical events developed when the diver was either running low or was out-of-air (LOA, OOA). When equipment was tested following death, few victims had an ample air supply remaining. The DAN survey found 41% in this situation. Most problems arose when the diver became aware of a low-on-air (LOA) situation. Some divers then died while trying to snorkel on the surface, attempting to conserve air (8%). Concern about a shortage of air presumably impairs the diver’s ability to cope with a second problem developing during the dive, or causes the diver to surface prematurely and in a stressed state of mind, where he/she is then unable to cope with surface conditions. In many cases the LOA diver faced these difficulties alone, as his/her buddy who had more air, continued the dive oblivious to the deteriorating situa-
Based on the above formula, 40% of divers who perished were found to be grossly overweighted at the surface. This factor would have been greater at depth. When weighted according to this formula, a diver should be neutrally buoyant at or near the surface. In this state, descent or ascent are equally easy. During descent, the wet suit becomes compressed, making the diver negatively buoyant. This is where the buoyancy compensator (BC) comes in. It is inflated just sufficiently to restore neutral buoyancy. This is why it is called a buoyancy compensator.

Evidently, some divers deliberately overweighted on the surface, using this excess weight to descend more easily and were then using the BC to maintain depth and then later to return to the surface. This places excessive reliance on the BC. This dangerous practice is unhealthy as it increases the risk of decompression sickness. The DAN survey on buddyed divers who ran into LOA/OOA situations, it was of interest that irrespective of who became OOA first, the overweighted diver was the one who died—at a 6:1 ratio, dealing with weights, buoyancy compensators, etc. In spite of being heavily reliant on their BC’s, many divers then misused them. Examples of this include accidental inflation or over-inflation causing rocket like ascents (“Polaris missile effect”), confusion between the inflation and dump valves, and inadequate or slow inflation due to being deep or LOA. The drag induced by the inflated BC (needed in many cases to offset the non-discarded weight belt) was a significant factor contributing to exhaustion in divers attempting to swim to safety on the surface.

There are other unpleasant consequences of buoyancy problems. The American Academy of Underwater Sciences, in a symposium in 1989, reported that half the cases of decompression sickness were related to loss of buoyancy control. After acquiring the initial open-water certificate, possibly the best course to undertake would be on buoyancy control.

**Ditching of weights**

This was omitted by most victims (90%). Not ditching the weight belt, compelled them to swim towards safety carrying many kilos of unnecessary weight, and made staying on the surface very difficult in these cases. This critical and avoidable factor should be easily remedied by restoring the traditional weight belt ditching drills.

Earlier diving instructors taught that the weight belt was the last item put on, the first taken off. It was to be removed and held at arm’s length in the event of a potential problem. The diver then had the option of voluntarily dropping the belt if the situation deteriorated or replacing it if the problem resolved. When problems did develop, the belt was dropped automatically! Some current diving students now question the validity of dropping these lead (?) dead) belts—perhaps the high cost of replacement is worth more than their lives. “Lead poisoning” is a frequent contribution to fatalities. When ditched, the belt is held at arms length to avoid falling and foul-
either ascent or buoyancy, to keep the diver afloat on the surface, several kilograms of flotation are immediately available by simply discarding the weight belt. This action also results in a more consistent, controlled ascent than with an inflated BC.

Buddy Diving System
The value and desirability of the buddy system is universally accepted in the recreational diving community. Two maxims have arisen in diving folklore from this concept:

- “Dive alone—die alone”
- “Buddies who are not in constant and direct communication are not buddies, merely diving in the same ocean.”

In spite of this, only 14% of divers who perished still had their buddy with them, and in the Hawaiian series, it was 19%. In 33% of the ANZ cases, the deceased diver either dived alone or voluntarily separated from his buddy beforehand, 25% left their buddy after a problem developed, and 20% became separated by the problem. Of those who started diving with a buddy in the DAN series, 57% were separated at the time of death.

A common cause of separation was one diver (the subsequent casualty) having inadequate air, OOA or LOA. In this case, the buddy often continued the dive alone, or accompanied the victim to the surface, before abandoning him and continuing the dive. There were many misapplications of the buddy system. In some cases, more than two divers ‘buddied’ together, leading to confusion as to who was responsible for whom. A particular variant of this is a training technique in which a group of inexperienced divers follows a dive leader. When one becomes LOA, he is paired with another (usually another inexperienced diver) in the same situation, and the two instructed to return to the surface together.

Often the heaviest air consumers are the least experienced and are over-breathing through anxiety. Two such inexperienced, anxious divers, both critically low on air, are then abandoned underwater by the dive leader and left to fend for themselves.

In others, the buddy was leading the victim and therefore not immediately aware of the problem. Generally, the more experienced diver took the lead, affording him the luxury of constant observation by his buddy, while he gave intermittent attention in return. In such a situation, unless a “buddy line” is used, the following diver (upon developing a problem such as LOA or OOA) has to expend precious time and energy and air, catching his buddy to inform him of the difficulty. Often this was impossible, and the first indication the leading diver had of the problem was the absence of his buddy, who by this time was unconscious on the sea bed or well on the way to the surface.

A buddy line may be life saving. But not always.

Buddy rescue
In only a minority of cases was the buddy present at the time of death. Most divers ultimately died alone, usually because of poor compliance with the principles of buddy diving. In only 1% of cases did the buddy die attempting rescue, indicating that adherence to the buddy principle is reasonably safe for the would-be rescuer.

Buddy breathing
Four percent of fatalities were associated with failed buddy breathing. In a study of failed buddy breathing conducted by NUADC, more than half were attempted at depths greater than 20 metres. In 29% the victim’s mask was displaced, and the catastrophe of air embolism occurred in 12.5% of cases. One in eight victims refused to return the demand valve, presumably to the righteous indignation of the donor. In one reported instance, knives were drawn to settle the dispute! Nevertheless, donating a regulator rarely results in the donor becoming the victim. The use of an octopus rig or (more sensibly) a complete separate emergency air supply (e.g. “Spare Air”) would appear to be a more satisfactory alternative, having the added advantage of providing a spare regulator for the owner in the (not so rare) event of a failure of the primary air supply.

Human factors
In at least 25% of cases, the diver had a pre-existing disease which should have excluded him from diving (compared to 8-10% in the potential diver trainee population).
Evidence of panic was derived from witness accounts of the diver’s behaviour, in the Australasian series. Other studies suggest a 40–60% incidence of panic. Panic was usually precipitated when the diver was confronted by unfamiliar or threatening circumstances such as LOA, OOA, poor visibility, turbulent water, unaccustomed depth, buoyancy problems (usually insufficient buoyancy), or separation from diving companions. After panicking, the diver frequently behaved inappropriately by actions such as failure to ditch weights or inflate the BC, rapid ascent, or abandoning essential equipment such as the mask, snorkel and regulator.

Fatigue
In 28% of cases, fatigue was a factor. Fatigue is a consequence of excessive exertion and limits the diver’s capacity for survival. Physical unfitness aggravates it. Fatigue commonly arose from a variety of circumstances including attempting to remain on the surface while overweighted, long swims in adverse sea conditions or swimming with excessive drag from an inflated BC. The fatigue factor was not restricted to unfit divers—under special circumstances any diver will become fatigued. In some cases, the fatigue was associated with salt water aspiration syndrome, cardiac complications or asthma.

Salt water aspiration
This factor was present in 37% of cases. It refers to inhalation of small amounts of sea water by the conscious diver. In many cases this was the result of a leaking regulator, aspiration on the surface after removing the regulator and buddy breathing. In most cases, salt water aspiration was a preterminal event as the situation became critical. It frequently predisposed to the development of panic, fatigue, respiratory and other complications.

Cardiac (Sudden Death Syndrome)
In these cases, there was either gross cardiac pathology or a clinical indication of cardiac disease. In the DAN series, 26% of deaths were due to this. Of the cardiac deaths, 63% complained of chest pain, dyspnoea or feeling unwell before or during the dive. Victims tend to be older—cardiac causes explain 45% of the scuba deaths in those over 40 years. They tend to be more experienced divers, often with a history of known cardiac disease (arrhythmias or ischaemia) or high blood pressure—often under control with medication (especially beta blockers).

They usually die quietly, and the pathophysiology is probably a cardiac arrhythmia (ventricular fibrillation). Resuscitation is difficult or impossible under these environmental conditions. The trigger factors producing this very rapid ineffective heart beat include the following: exercise, drugs, hypoxia from salt water aspiration, respiratory abnormalities from breathing under dysbaric conditions through a regulator and with restrictive clothing and harness, cardio-pulmonary reflexes and cold exposure.

Asthma
In at least 9% of deaths the diver was asthmatic in the ANZ survey, and in at least 8% of cases asthma contributed to the death. In some other surveys (especially those with less data on each fatality, or those that do not specifically state the previous medical history), this data is not so obvious. Asthmatics should normally be excluded by a competent medical examination. Even so, surveys have shown that between 0.5 and 1% of divers are current asthmatics. When this figure is contrasted with the 9% of fatalities who have the condition, it implies that asthma is a significant risk factor.

There was often a series of adverse factors contributing to death in this group, including panic, fatigue and salt water aspiration. The ultimate pathology was usually drowning or pulmonary barotrauma. The risk of pulmonary barotrauma is
Salt water aspiration  
Respiratory physicians use nebulised salt water to provoke an asthmatic attack in cases of questionable asthma. Divers immerse themselves in such a solution and often breathe a fine mist of seawater through regulators.

Cold dry air  
Breathing this air precipitates attacks in some asthmatics. Divers breathe this type of air continuously. It is carefully dried by the filling station before being used to fill scuba tanks, and cools as it expands in the regulator.

Exertion  
This aggravates many attacks. Even the most routine dive can require unexpected and extreme exertion, due to adverse environmental factors such as rough water or currents.

Hyperventilation  
The effects of anxiety cause hyperventilation and changes in respiratory gases. This will have little effect on normal lungs. It provokes asthma in those susceptible.

Breathing against a resistance  
Many of the cases first notice problems at depth, where the air is more dense, or if there is increased resistance in the regulator—such as with a LOA or OOA situation. A study from Denver showed that although normal divers did not show any change in respiratory function with exercise or breathing through scuba regulators, asthmatics had decreases of 15% and 27% respectively.

Vomiting  
Apart from the cases that vomited during resuscitation—and there were many—in 10% vomiting initiated or contributed to the accident. It was often produced by sea sickness or salt water aspiration, but ear problems and alcohol over-ingestion also contributed.

Nitrogen narcosis  
This was an effect of depth, and contributed in 9%, but was never the sole cause of death in the ANZ series.

Respiratory Disease  
A further 7% of casualties had chronic bronchitis, pleural adhesions, chest injury or other respiratory conditions. Because divers with these conditions are in a minority, they appear to be over represented in the deaths.

Drugs  
Alcohol and cannabis (marijuana) are well known contributors to drowning. Cocaine is an established cause of sudden death in athletes. What surprised us was the apparent association between drugs taken for hypertension and the deaths from the sudden death syndrome. Antiasthma drugs seemed to have the same association.

Decompression sickness  
The dread of DCS is prominent in the minds of most divers. Perhaps this is why there are no deaths due to this condition in the ANZ studies, and less than 1% in the NUADC. Hawaiians reached 4%, due to deep diving for black coral. The DAN survey has 2.5%, probably because of the inclusion of technical divers, who often dive deeper—the mean depth being 68 metres (226 ft) in that study. While DCS is an important cause of serious disability (such as paraplegia) in all divers, it is not a frequent cause of mortality in recreational divers. This is not, however, true for professionals.

Don’t miss SCUBA diving: What are the risks, really? Part Two in the next edition of X-RAY MAG, where we have a closer look at: Equipment factors (misuse, faults) and Environmental factors. Part two also includes a summary and some thoughts on how to prevent diving accidents.
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